

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                    | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.        |  |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/675,132   | 09/30/2003  | Anthony J. Gounalis  | L0562.70042US00         | 5715                    |  |
| 7590 06/09/2004                                    |             |                      | EXAM                    | EXAMINER                |  |
| Randy J. Pritzker                                  |             |                      | GREGORY, BERNARR E      |                         |  |
| Wolf, Greenfield & Sacks, P.C. 600 Atlantic Avenue |             | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER            |                         |  |
| Boston, MA 02210                                   |             |                      | 3662                    |                         |  |
|  |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 06/09/2004 | DATE MAILED: 06/09/2004 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Application N . Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10/675,132 GOUNALIS, ANTHONY J.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offic Action Summary Examin r Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bernarr E. Gregory 3662  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the c rresp ndence address Period for Reply   |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/675,132

Art Unit: 3662

1. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In each of independent claims 1, 11, and 19, parts b) and c) of the claim are indefinite and unclear in context particularly as to the phrases "determining the size of a scan strategy" and "determining a capacity of a receiver system used to implement the scan strategy." Is the first phrase a determining of the necessary size the scan strategy for some desired results? Is the second phrase a determining of the necessary capacity of a receiver to carry out the scan strategy?

Claim 18 is indefinite and unclear in that it refers to claim 7 as being claim for a "computer-readable medium," but claim 7 is a method claim.

Dependent claims 2-10, 12-18, and 20 are unclear in that they depend from unclear independent claims 1, 11, and 19.

2. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The examiner-cited prior art herewith is of interest for showing prior art systems and methods of detecting RF emitters.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bernarr E. Gregory whose telephone number is (703) 306-5765. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/675,132

Art Unit: 3662

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas H. Tarcza, can be reached on (703) 306-4171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bernarr E. Gregory Primary Examiner Art Unit 3662 Page 3

ì.